

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,757	11/26/2003	Dan Pellerin	60,568-020	7199
27305 75	590 03/22/2006		EXAMINER	
HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.			AKANBI, ISIAKA O	
THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE		UITE #101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	HILLS, MI 48304-515	1	2877	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/722,757	PELLERIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isiaka O. Akanbi	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNI 6(a). In no event, however, may a ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>-</u> ·					
·—	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	pleation was visa as and	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers .						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		• • •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	-	• • •).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: -	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori						
application from the International Bureau	• • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not	received.				
•						
	•	•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12 October 2004.

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,757

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement file 12 October 2004 has been entered and reference considered by the examiner.

Drawings

The examiner approves the drawings filed 26 November 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 10 and 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (4,727,419).

As regard to claim 1, Yamada discloses an assembly/method for determining the configuration of a part comprising of the following:

a platform having a longitudinal axis for supporting and moving the part along said longitudinal axis (3), a first detection assembly (8/10) for transmitting a first signal around and the part (7/8) in a direction transverse to said longitudinal axis and for receiving the transmitted first signal passed around the part, a controller (15) for evaluating said first signal received from said first detection assembly thereby determining a first configuration of the part and a second detection assembly (8/10) for transmitting a second signal around and the part (7/8) in the direction transverse to the direction of said first signal and for receiving the transmitted second signal around and the part, said second detection assembly being operably connected to said controller (15) for determining a second configuration of the part whereby said controller

Application/Control Number: 10/722,757

Art Unit: 2877

integrates said first and second signals for determining a third configuration (i.e. size) of the part thereby identifying the part being evaluated (fig. 1)(col. 3, line 33-col. 4, line 1-8).

As to claims 2 and 16, according to claim 1, Yamada discloses wherein said controller includes a comparative program for determining the three dimensional configuration of the part (1) from the first and second configurations by determining the height of the part, the outer diameter of the part and the inner diameter of the part by the light receivers 7 and 9 generating/providing a signal corresponding/representing the basic outline/size of the tire (i.e. the outer, the inner and height)(col. 3, line 38-44).

As to claims 3-5 and 17-19, Yamada discloses wherein said first detection assembly determines the height of the part, the outer diameter of the part and the inner diameter of the part by the light receivers 7 and 9 generating/providing a signal corresponding/representing the basic outline/size of the tire (i.e. the outer, the inner and height)(col. 3, line 38-44).

As to claim 6, Yamada discloses wherein said first and second signals include a beam of light (col. 3, line 61-64).

As to claim 7, Yamada discloses wherein said second detection assembly being spaced between said first detection assembly (fig. 1).

As to claims 8, Yamada discloses wherein said second detection (9/10) assembly includes a light emitter (9) for transmitting said second signal (fig. 1)(col. 3, line 61-64).

As to claim 10, Yamada discloses wherein said second detection (9/10) assembly includes a light receiver (9) for receiving said second signal (fig. 1)(col. 3, line 33-col. 4, line 1-8).

As to claim 12, Yamada discloses wherein said first detection assembly (7/8) includes a light emitter for transmitting said first signal (fig. 1)(col. 3, line 61-64).

As to claim 13, Yamada discloses wherein said first detection assembly (7/8) having a light receiver (7) for receiving said first signal (fig. 1).

As to claim 14, Yamada discloses wherein the part is a tire (1)(fig.1)(col. 3, line 34).

As to claim 20, Yamada discloses wherein the step of orienting the first detection assembly (7/8) with respect to the platform is further defined as positioning a light emitter (8) to transmit the first signal onto the part in the direction transverse to the platform (fig1).

Application/Control Number: 10/722,757

Art Unit: 2877

As to claim 21, Yamada discloses wherein the step of orienting the first detection assembly (7/8) with respect to the platform is further defined as positioning a light receiver (7) to receive the transmitted first signal around and through the part (fig. 1).

As to claim 22, Yamada discloses wherein the step orienting the second detection assembly (9/10) with respect to the platform is further defined as positioning another light emitter (10) to transmit the second signal onto the part in the direction transverse to the direction of the first signal (fig. 1).

As to claim 23, Yamada discloses wherein the step of orienting the second detection assembly (9/10) with respect to the platform is further defined as positioning another light receiver (9) to receive the transmitted second signal around and through the part (fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (4,727,419).

As to claims 9 and 11, Yamada discloses everything claimed, as applied to claim 1 and 15 above except for is silent regarding the light emitter being positioned and the light receiver position (i.e. above/below platform), however it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to arrange the light emitter and the light receiver in any position (i.e. above/below platform) would have been a matter of rearrangement of parts. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide light emitter being positioned above said platform and light receiver being positioned below said platform for the purpose of receiving transmitted light. (see In re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975) (see In re Japikse, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950).

Art Unit: 2877

Additional Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references listed in the attached form PTO-892 teach of other prior art assembly/method for determining the configuration of a part that may anticipate or obviate the claims of the applicant's invention.

Conclusion

Fax/Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isiaka Akanbi whose telephone number is (571) 272-8658. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isiaka Akanbi March 17, 2006

Supervisory Patent Examiner